

Calculations with BEM in a refracting atmosphere

Maud Priour^{1,2}, Eric Premat³, Philippe Blanc-Benon²

¹ Centre Scientifique et Technique du Bâtiment, 24 rue Joseph Fourier, 38400 Saint Martin d'Hères, France, Email: maud.priour@cstb.fr

² Centre Acoustique; LMFA UMR CNRS 5509 ; Ecole Centrale de Lyon
36 Av. Guy de Collongue; F-69134 Ecully Cedex; Email: Philippe.Blanc-Benon@ec-lyon.fr

³ CETE, 46 rue Saint Théobald - BP 128, 38081 L'isle d'Abeau Cedex, France ;
Email: eric.premat@equipement.gouv.fr

Introduction

Being able to predict outdoor sound propagation is important in order to design road and railway infrastructures to improve the acoustic environment. One of the main problems with outdoor sound propagation is related to the meteorological conditions. In numerical acoustics, the Boundary Element Method (BEM) is a powerful tool, widely used but in its classical form only for quiescent media. In this article, a method is presented to deal with meteorological effects in BEM calculations. Firstly, the theory is detailed, secondly numerical validations are presented and finally numerical results are compared to experimental data.

Theoretical formulation

To handle with outdoor sound propagation over irregular terrain, conformal mapping can be used [1]: propagation over a concave/convex surface becomes equivalent to downward/upward refraction. Thus, propagation for linear effective sound speed profile above a flat surface is transformed into propagation with a constant sound speed profile above a cylindrical surface [2]. As a result, meteorological effects can be taken into account in BEM calculations.

Studied configuration

The studied 2D geometrical configuration is described Figure 1: the source is located at (0, 0.5m) and the receiver at (82m, 1.5m). The ground under the source is rigid and the surface under the receiver is absorbent. The impedance of the absorbent part is described by the Delany and Bazley model [3] with a flow resistivity $\sigma=180\,000$ MKS and an infinite thickness. There is an impedance discontinuity located at (7m, 0). A 3 meters rigid barrier is fixed at (7m, 0). Two sound speed profiles have been studied:

- Downward refracting profile: $c(z) = c_0(1 + 0.0049z)$
- Upward refracting profile: $c(z) = c_0(1 - 0.0049z)$

The choice of these sound speed profiles corresponds to a wind speed of $v_{10} = 16,7 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ at ten meters high which does not correspond to a realistic sound speed profile but has been chosen to clearly point out the refraction effects.




Figure 1: The 2D geometrical configuration.

S (0, 0.5m); R(82m, 1.5m); 3 meter rigid barrier absorbent : $\sigma = 180\,000$ MKS, infinite thickness

(a): homogeneous atmosphere

(b): downward refraction, $c(z) = c_0(1 + 0.0049z)$

(c): upward refraction $c(z) = c_0(1 - 0.0049z)$

Numerical validations

In this section, BEM calculations [4] are compared to Atmos numerical simulations [5, 6]. Atmos is a code based on the parabolic equation method in which sound can propagate with various effective sound speed profiles. In Figure 2 (a), BEM results obtained for the geometrical configuration described in Figure 1 (b) are compared with Atmos

calculations for the upward refracting profile. In Figure 2 (b), BEM results obtained for the geometrical configuration described in Figure 1 (c) are compared to Atmos calculations for the downward refraction. Comparisons with homogeneous atmosphere results enhance the importance of meteorological effects.




Figure 2: Comparisons between BEM and Atmos calculations

Sound pressure levels relative to free field obtained for the configuration detailed in Figure 1
 S (0, 0.5m); R(82m, 1.5m); 3 meter rigid barrier
 absorbent : $\sigma = 180\ 000$ MKS, infinite thickness
 (a), downward refraction : concave surface
 (b), upward refraction : convex surface

— : BEM calculations in a refracting atmosphere
 — : GFPE calculations in a refracting atmosphere
 - - - : BEM calculations in homogeneous atmosphere

The agreement between BEM and Atmos results is very good. In the following section devoted to scale experiments, BEM results and experimental data are compared.

Scale experiments

A series of model experiments has been conducted at a scale of 1:20 above curved surfaces (which radius of curvature is $R_c = 10.2m$). The sound pressure levels relative to the free field have been measured in narrow bands in the frequency range [1000, 20000Hz], corresponding at full scale to [50, 1000 Hz], with a sine sweep method. In Figure 3, BEM results are compared to scale experiments measurements. The interference pattern is well described by experimental and numerical results.




Figure 3 Sound pressure levels relative to free field obtained with the BEM approach and compared with experiments

S (0, 0.5m); R(82m, 1.5m), 3 meter rigid barrier
 absorbent : $\sigma = 180\ 000$ MKS, infinite thickness
 downward refraction : concave surface

— : BEM calculations in a refracting atmosphere
 — : experimental measurements
 - - - : BEM calculations in a homogeneous atmosphere

Conclusion

The BEM approach using the above mentioned analogy accounting for refraction effects gives very good results when compared to other numerical simulations and to experimental data. A work is in progress to use the same approach for more complex topographies.

References

- [1] X. Di, K. E. Gilbert : The effect of turbulence and irregular terrain on outdoor sound propagation in 6th International Symposium on Long Range Sound Propagation, p. 315-333, NRC Ottawa Canada, 1994
- [2] M. Almgren: Simulation by using a curved ground scale model of outdoor sound propagation under the influence of a constant sound speed gradient. Journal of Sound and Vibration **118** (1987) 353-370
- [3] M. E. Delany, E. N. Bazley: Acoustics properties of fibrous absorbent materials. Applied Acoustics **3**, 105-116 (1970)
- [4] P. Jean: A variational approach for the study of outdoor sound propagation and application to railway noise. Journal of Sound and Vibration **212** (1998) 275-294
- [5] F. Aballéa, M. Priour, E. Premat, J. Defrance : Une approche hybride pour la propagation du son en milieu extérieur complexe. in 16^{ème} Congrès François de mécanique. 2003. Nice.
- [6] E. Premat, J. Defrance, M. Priour, F. Aballéa : Coupling BEM and GFPE for complex outdoor sound propagation, Euronoise 2003