

**ACOUSTICS2008/2926**  
**A decade of acoustic thermometry in the North Pacific Ocean:  
Using long- range acoustic travel times to test gyre-scale  
temperature variability derived from other observations and ocean  
models**

Peter Worcester<sup>a</sup>, Brian Dushaw<sup>b</sup>, Rex Andrew<sup>b</sup>, Bruce Howe<sup>b</sup>, James Mercer<sup>b</sup>, Robert Spindel<sup>b</sup>, Bruce Cornuelle<sup>a</sup>, Matthew Dzieciuch<sup>a</sup>, Theodore Birdsall<sup>c</sup>, Kurt Metzger<sup>c</sup> and Dimitris Menemenlis<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0225, USA

<sup>b</sup>Applied Physics Lab., Univ. of Washington, 1013 Northeast 40th St., Seattle, WA 98105, USA

<sup>c</sup>Univ. of Michigan, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Dept., 1301 Beal Ave., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2122, USA

<sup>d</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Dr., Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

Large-scale, range- and depth-averaged temperatures in the North Pacific Ocean were measured by long-range acoustic transmissions over the decade 1996-2006. Acoustic sources off central California and north of Kauai transmitted to receivers throughout the North Pacific. Even though acoustic travel times are spatially integrating, suppressing mesoscale variability and providing a precise measure of large-scale temperature, the travel times sometimes vary significantly on time scales of only a few weeks. The interannual variability is large, with no consistent warming or cooling trends. Comparison of the measured travel times with travel times derived from (i) the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (WOA05), (ii) an upper ocean temperature estimate derived from satellite altimetry and in situ profiles, (iii) an analysis provided by the Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean (ECCO) project, and (iv) simulation results from a high-resolution configuration of the Parallel Ocean Program (POP) show similarities, but also reveal substantial differences. The differences suggest that the data can provide significant additional constraints for numerical ocean simulations. The acoustic data show that WOA05 is a much better estimate of the time-mean hydrography than either the ECCO or POP estimates and provide significantly better time resolution for large-scale ocean variability than can be derived from satellite altimetry and in situ profiles.