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#### Abstract

The design of a high power piezoelectric underwater transducer operating at frequency range 40 kHz 80 kHz with acoustic power capability in excess of 250 W is described. The transducer consists of two back-toback elements. Each element is formed by stacked PZT-4 ceramic rings, a matching and a steel backing layer, and placed in a finite rigid circular baffle. We investigate the dependence of bandwidth and beamwidth to the combination of piston and baffle radii, a and b , respectively. With $k a$ of 2.45 ( $k$ is the wave number) at resonance and a $b / a$ ratio of 2 , the transducer resonates at 60 kHz with $67 \%$ bandwidth and has a beamwidth of $60^{\circ}$ at each half space. We show that when two transducers are placed at right angles spatially and driven in parallel, we can obtain an omnidirectional beam pattern in the lower frequency band. The beam pattern exhibits two dips in each quadrant at the higher end of the frequency band, which are within $8 d B$. We also investigated power handling capability of the transducer from thermal point of view using finite element analysis. The input impedance measurements agree well with the numerical results within the pass band.
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## 1. Introduction

Piezoelectric ceramic transducers are widely used in underwater acoustics. They may have various shapes depending on the application requirements such as source pressure level, bandwidth and radiation pattern. Sandwich transducers, also known as Langevin composite transducers, are commonly used transducers for high power applications due to their attractive properties [1]. Since piezoelectric ceramic elements are excited to vibrate in thickness mode, this type of transducers have high electromechanical coupling coefficient. Because of the large impedance mismatch between ceramic and water load, a quarter-wave matching layer is used to increase bandwidth. High impedance backing layer provide a nearly rigid boundary that allows ceramic rings to deliver large portion of the acoustical power to the load. While general design option is to obtain a half-wave resonator by making lengths of the backing and active section each one quarterwavelength long, different length ratio of active and backing section can be used to adjust resonance frequency to the desired value. Sandwich transducers can endure high tensile strength by bolting ceramic elements with backing and matching layers under compressive stress. Furthermore, backing metal with high thermal conduction coefficient enables transducers to be driven safely at high power levels without critical temperature rise in ceramic rings.
Sandwich transducers have unidirectional beam pattern and their directionality increases with size compared to wavelength. Most common assumption in determining the radiation pattern and impedance is that the transducer is in an infinite baffle. This assumption is not appropriate for applications which do not contain any baffle. Radiation characteristics and radiation impedance of a disk in a finite closed circular baffle where baffle thickness is one quarter of piston radius is recently derived [2]. For a baffle of large thickness, we expect to see a second reflection when the wave reaches the edge of the rear surface, but this would produce weaker interference patterns because some of the wave has already been reflected at the edge of the front surface. In the case of the closed back disk with no baffle the beam pattern is same as that of a piston at the end of an infinite tube derived by Levine and Schwinger [3]. Therefore, same model can be used for baffle thickness larger than one quarter of the piston diameter.
In this paper, the design and analysis of a wide beamwidth high power sandwich transducer is described. Two quarter wavelength elements each consists of two PZT-4 ceramic rings, a steel backing and glass reinforced polymer (GRP)
matching layers are placed in a back-to-back configuration to obtain half wavelength sandwich transducer as shown in Fig. 1 The transducer has an operating frequency of $40 \mathrm{kHz}-80 \mathrm{kHz}$ and a beamwidth of $60^{\circ}$ in each half space. When the two transducers are placed at right angles as shown in Fig. 2 and driven in parallel, it is shown that an omnidirectional beam pattern is achievable. Radiation characteristics of the geometry in Fig 2 are analyzed for the various baffle sizes. Since radiation characteristics and operating band both depend on the transducer dimensions, transducer dimensions are tried to be optimized to achieve both high bandwidth and an omnidirectional beam pattern in one plane. Both equivalent circuit and finite element models are used for the design of the back-to-back transducer. Power handling capability of the transducer from thermal point of view is also analyzed using finite element model. Finally, admittance measurement of the constructed sandwich transducer is performed which agrees well with the theoretical results.


Fig. 1 Back-to-back transducer


Fig. 2 Diagram of two back-to-back transducer

## 2. Optimization of Transducer Dimensions for Omnidirectional Radiation Pattern in X-Y Plane

Far-field pressure response of a circular piston, of radius a, in a finite baffle, of radius $b$, is given as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(R, \theta)=-j k \rho_{0} c_{0} A \frac{1}{2 \pi R} D(\theta) e^{-j k R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}, c_{0}$ are density and sound speed of water, A is the radiating piston area with radius $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}$ is the distance from piston center to the far field point Z and $D(\theta)$ is the directivity function expressed by;

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(\theta)=\frac{2 J_{1}(k a \sin \theta)}{k a \sin \theta}-k b\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2} \cos \theta  \tag{2}\\
& \sum_{m=0}^{M} \tau_{m} \Gamma(m+5 / 2)\left(\frac{2}{k b \sin \theta}\right)^{m+3 / 2} J_{m+3 / 2}(k b \sin \theta)
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation $\tau$ is the power series coefficients, $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function and $J$ is the Bessel function. Total pressure at point Z for a back-to-back transducer is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(R, \theta)=-j k \rho_{0} c_{0} A \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{D_{1}(\theta) e^{-j k R_{1}}}{R_{1}}+\frac{D_{2}(\theta) e^{-j k R_{2}}}{R_{2}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{R} \gg \mathrm{r}$ the distance of point Z from each piston can be approximated as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1} \cong R-r \cos \theta, \quad R_{2} \cong R+r \cos \theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $r$ is the distance between the center of back-to-back transducer to each piston surface. Normalized directivity function of a back-to-back transducer is computed for both infinite and finite baffle sizes for $\mathrm{ka}=1.7,2.45$ and 3.20 with $a=9 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~b}=2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{r}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ and shown in Fig 3 where ka values of 1.7, 2.45 and 3.20 correspond to frequencies $45 \mathrm{kHz}, 65 \mathrm{kHz}$ and 85 kHz , respectively. Total pressure is normalized to maximum on axis pressure of a single element, given by $20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{|p|}{\left|\max \left(p_{1}\right)\right|}\right)$. Note that in the finite baffle case, there are some dips and peaks due to interference of reflected waves from the edges of baffle.


Fig. 3 Normalized directivity function of a back-to-back transducer in an infinite baffle and a finite baffle with $b=2 a$ $\mathrm{a}=9 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{r}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$.

In order to achieve an omnidirectional beam pattern on the $x-y$ plane in the frequency band of $45 \mathrm{kHz}-85 \mathrm{kHz}$, we place two back-to-back transducers spatially symmetric around origin as shown in Fig.2. In the figure, $h$ is the distance of transducer central axis to $y$-axis which is equal to baffle radius, $\theta$ is the angle of far field point $Z$ with respect to $+y$-axis and R is the distance of point Z to the origin.
Four radiating discs give a total pressure on point Z as;
$p(R, \theta)=-j k \phi_{0} C_{0} A-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{q^{(\theta) e^{-j k R_{1}}}}{R_{1}}-\frac{D_{2}(\theta) e^{-j k R_{2}}}{R_{2}}-\frac{D_{3}(\theta) e^{-j k R_{3}}}{R_{3}}-\frac{D_{4}(\theta) e^{-j k R_{4}}}{R_{4}}\right)$
Since $\mathrm{R} \gg \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{r}$ effective distances of point Z to the radiating pistons can be approximated as;
$R_{1} \cong R-\sqrt{r^{2}+h^{2}} \cos \theta, R_{2} \cong R-\sqrt{r^{2}+h^{2}} \cos (\pi / 2-\theta)$
$R_{3} \cong R+\sqrt{r^{2}+h^{2}} \cos \theta, R_{4} \cong R+\sqrt{r^{2}+h^{2}} \cos (\pi / 2-\theta)$
In the above equations subscripts $1,2,3$ and 4 represents the radiating pistons directed towards $+y,-x,-y$ and $+x$ axes, respectively.
The far field pressure response of two back-to-back transducers given in Fig. 2 is computed for various values of $b$, a and r. Fig. 4 shows the normalized pressure response for the baffle sizes $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}, 1.5 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{a}$, and 2.5 a , $a=9 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{r}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$. Normalized pressure response with respect to disc radius a for $\mathrm{a}=5 \mathrm{~mm}, 9 \mathrm{~mm}, 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 20 mm while holding $b=2 a$ and $r=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ is given on Fig. 5. Finally, pressure response for the values of $r=15 \mathrm{~mm}$, $25 \mathrm{~mm}, 35 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 45 mm with $\mathrm{a}=9 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{a}$ are displayed in Fig. 6.
Normalized pressure response results show that optimum transducer dimensions to achieve maximum omnidirectionality in the interested frequency band are $\mathrm{a}=9 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~b}=2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{r}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$. Back-to-back transducer with the given dimensions, has a beamwidth of $60^{\circ}$ in each half plane within the frequency band of $45 \mathrm{kHz}-85 \mathrm{kHz}$ as shown in Fig 1. Since design of a back-to-back sandwich transducer depends also the dimensions of the elements, recursive optimization is made between radiation pattern analysis and back-to-back transducer design that will be discussed next.


Fig. 4 Normalized directivity function of a two back-toback transducer in a finite baffle with $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=1.5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{a}$, $\mathrm{b}=2.5 \mathrm{a}$.


Fig. 5 Normalized directivity function of a two back-toback transducer in a finite baffle with $a=5 \mathrm{~mm}, a=9 \mathrm{~mm}$, $\mathrm{a}=15 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{a}=20 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Fig. 6 Normalized directivity function of a two back-toback transducer in a finite baffle with $\mathrm{r}=15 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{r}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$, $\mathrm{r}=35 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{r}=45 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## 3. Design of a Back-To-Back Transducer

Back-to-back sandwich transducer is constructed using two back-to-back elements as shown in Fig 1. Each element is formed by two PZT-4 ceramic rings, GRP matching and a steel backing layers. Electromechanical equivalent circuit of the transducer based on the transmission line theory, ignoring losses, can be represented by Fig. 7 [1, 4]. Using subscripts $b$ for backing, c for ceramic rings and m for matching layer, $z_{b 1}, z_{b 2}$ and $z_{b 3}$ are the impedances of backing metal, $Z_{m 1}, Z_{m 2}$ and $Z_{m 3}$ are the impedances of matching material, $Z_{c 1}, Z_{c 2}$ and $Z_{c 3}$ are those of piezoelectric ceramic rings.
$Z_{w}$ is the radiation impedance of water which depends on the radii of disc and baffle, a and $b$. Their expressions are;
$Z_{c 1}=-\frac{j \rho_{c} c_{c} A_{0}}{\sin \left(k_{c} l_{c}\right)}, Z_{c 2}=Z_{c 3}=j \rho_{c} c_{c} A_{0} \tan \left(\frac{k_{c} l_{c}}{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{b 1}=-\frac{j \rho_{b} c_{b} A_{b}}{\sin \left(k_{b} l_{b}\right)}, \quad Z_{b 2}=Z_{b 3}=j \rho_{b} c_{b} A_{b} \tan \left(\frac{k_{b} l_{b}}{2}\right) \\
& Z_{m 1}=-\frac{j \rho_{m} c_{m} A_{m}}{\sin \left(k_{m} l_{m}\right)}, Z_{m 2}=Z_{m 3}=j \rho_{m} c_{m} A_{m} \tan \left(\frac{k_{m} l_{m}}{2}\right) \\
& Z_{w}=\rho_{0} c_{0} A\left[R_{w}+j X_{w}\right] \\
& R_{w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{J_{1}(2 k a)}{k a}-k b \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \Re\left(\tau_{m}\right)\left[1-\left[1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}\right]^{m+3 / 2}\right]\right) \\
& X_{w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{H_{1}(2 k a)}{k a}-k b \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \mathfrak{I}\left(\tau_{m}\right)\left[1-\left[1-(\underset{b}{b})^{2}\right]^{m+3 / 2}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 7 Electromechanical equivalent circuit of a back-toback transducer

In the above equations $\rho, l$ and $A$ are density, thickness and area of the elements with their corresponding subscripts. Sound speeds in backing and matching layers can be found from the equation $c=\sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}}$, and in those of the ceramic rings $c_{c}=\sqrt{\frac{c^{D}}{\rho_{c}}}$ where E is the Young's modulus, $C^{D}{ }_{33}$ is the elastic stiffness at constant charge density and $\rho_{c}$ is the density of piezoelectric ceramic rings. $k$ is the wave number and can be expressed as $k=\frac{\omega}{c}, H_{1}$ is the first order Struve function and $R_{w}$ and $X_{w}$ are the normalized radiation resistance and reactance of water. $c_{b}, \rho_{b}, c_{m}, \rho_{m}, c_{c}, \rho_{c}, \rho_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ are $5130 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 7860 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 2730 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 1890 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 3800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, $7550 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and $1500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively.
PZT4 with outer diameter $d_{2}=18 \mathrm{~mm}$, inner diameter $d_{1}=5.95 \mathrm{~mm}$ and thickness $l=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ is used as an active element. We adjust the length of the backing layer to 5.6 mm and piezoelectric ceramics with the backing layer resonates around 60 kHz . Then 10.6 mm GRP matching layers are placed on both ends to increase the bandwidth. Fig. 8 shows conductance-susceptance plot obtained from equivalent circuit analysis.


Fig. 8 Conductance-Susceptance seen from the electrical terminals obtained from equivalent circuit analysis

## 4. Finite Element Model (FEM) of the Back-to-Back Transducer

Equivalent circuit model assumes ideal conditions and none of the effects such that reflections, radial and shear mode vibrations are included. Since ceramic rings vibrate in 33 mode, sound speed is assumed to be constant which is not practical. As the thickness become comparable to the lateral dimensions of the rings, sound speed decreases. FEM calculates all these effects and provide more practical results. Using the symmetry of the transducer, 2D FEM model of the back-to-back transducer is constructed with ANSYS as shown in Fig. 9 where only half of the transducer is modeled [4].


Fig. 9 FEM of the back-to-back transducer
Data is extracted from ANSYS and plotted in Matlab [5]. Conductance and susceptance seen at the electrical terminals are given in Fig. 10. The admittance predicted by FEM analysis slightly differs from admittance obtained by equivalent circuit, particularly at the higher end of the frequency range. The lowest radial resonance frequency of the structure is at about 110 kHz . This resonance is not accounted for in the equivalent circuit model, whereas it is considered in FEM analysis. The rise in the conductance values above 85 kHz is due to this effect.

## 5. Thermal Analysis of the Back-toBack Transducer

Heat characteristics of high power sandwich transducers are also an important consideration. Piezoelectric ceramic rings may generate considerable heat when they are driven at high levels. Electrical power loss in the piezoelectric ceramic ring is given by;


Fig. 10 Conductance-Susceptance obtained from ANSYS

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=\omega E^{2} V \varepsilon \tan \delta \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\omega$ is angular frequency, $E$ is the electric field, $V$ is the volume, $\varepsilon$ is the free dielectric constant and $\tan \delta$ is the dissipation factor.
Thermal properties of back-to-back transducer with $b=2 a$ are analyzed using Flux2D [6]. We place back-to-back transducer inside an aluminum cylinder whose radius is twice of the transducer and thickness of the aluminum cylinder is 4.5 mm . Between the aluminum cylinder and transducer, Loctite 3873 acrylic with thermal conductivity $1.25 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is placed. Thermal conductivity of PZT rings and GRP matching layer are $0.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and $1.8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, respectively. Convection coefficient of water is taken as $1350 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{20} \mathrm{~K}$ and outside temperature is assumed to be $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Transducer is driven with 1000 Vrms at 80 kHz where $\tan \delta$ equals to 0.01 . At this drive level, average acoustic output power capability, ignoring mechanical losses, is 277 W and average electrical power dissipation in four PZT rings is 7.9 W . Transient temperature response of the inner surface of PZT rings and steady state response of overall system are given in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, respectively. Maximum temperature takes place at the inner surface of the rings and the center bolt, as expected, since main path for the heat transfer is steel backing layer and center bolt. The transducer is capable of delivering acoustic power of above 250 W where maximum temperature in ceramic rings is $52.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. 11 Transient temperature response of the inner surface of PZT rings

## 6. Admittance Measurement of the Back-to-Back Transducer

We have constructed the back-to-back transducer as shown in Fig. 13. Instead of rigid baffle, plastic mount was used for the measurement of admittance. Conductancesusceptance plot from the measured data is plotted as shown in Fig 14. Note that conductance-susceptance values agree well with the theoretical results and $\% 67$ bandwidth is achieved around $40 \mathrm{kHz}-80 \mathrm{kHz}$ with a resonance frequency of 60 kHz . The effect of lowest radial resonance of the structure is also visible in the measured admittance values.


Fig. 12 Steady state temperature response of the overall back-to-back transducer after 1000s


Fig. 13 Constructed back-to-back transducer with plastic baffle.


Fig. 14 Conductance-Susceptance obtained from measurement.

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown the design of a half wavelength bi-directional sandwich transducer using two quarter wavelength elements stacked in a back-to-back configuration. Overall transducer operates at frequency range $40 \mathrm{kHz}-80 \mathrm{kHz}$ and has a beamwidth of $60^{\circ}$ in each half space. We have analyzed the difference between infinite and finite baffle and showed that infinite baffle assumption would not be appropriate for practical purposes since it neglects all the reflections from the edges.

We have also shown that when the two back-to-back transducers are placed symmetrically around the origin, an omnidirectional beam pattern can be achievable. We have analyzed the far field radiation pattern of this geometry for various combinations of $b$, a and $r$, in order to determine the best a and b combination for widest beamwidth in this frequency range.
As a future work, geometry shown in Fig 2 will be constructed. Radiation pattern, frequency response and power handling capability of the overall transducer will be measured.
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